

Epidemiological risk factors for periodontal pockets and clinical attachment loss among Greek adults

Nikolaos Andreas Chrysanthakopoulos^{1,2*}

¹Resident in Maxillofacial and Oral Surgery, 401 General Military Hospital of Athens, Greece

²Department of Pathological Anatomy, Medical School, University of Athens, Greece

Abstract

Aim: The aim of this study was to identify variables related to deep periodontal pockets and clinical attachment loss.

Materials and methods: The study population consisted of 575 Greek adults, 259 males and 316 females aged 35 to 69 years who referred in a private practice for periodontal treatment. Participants completed a self-administered questionnaire which included several epidemiological variables and underwent an oral clinical examination. The analyses performed by multinomial logistic regression model to estimate the possible associations among the variables examined.

Results: 31.3% of the participants showed a mean probing pocket depth of >6.00 mm, and 67.1% showed a mean clinical attachment loss of ≥5.0 mm. Male gender, lower socio-economic status, smoking; irregular dental follow-up and a diabetes mellitus history were consistent statistically significant potential risk factors for probing pocket depth of ≥4.00 mm and clinical attachment loss of ≥3.00 mm.

Conclusion: These results confirm previous findings regarding the principal role of cigarette smoking and various epidemiological variables in the etiology of deep periodontal pockets and periodontal loss of attachment.

Keywords: periodontal disease; epidemiology; periodontal pockets; attachment loss; adults; risk factors

Introduction

Periodontal Disease (PD) is one of the most common chronic infectious diseases, and its overall prevalence varies from 10 to 90% in adults [1], depending on diagnostic criteria. PD prevalence is particularly high in a general adult population and is the main cause of tooth extraction in adults aged ≥40 years [2]. It has been reported that PD affects not only oral physiological tissues but also systemic diseases such as diabetes mellitus (DM), cardiovascular disease, pre-mature birth, and bacterial respiratory disease [3-5].

During the progression of PD deep periodontal pockets and clinical attachment loss (CAL) observed through the destruction of the periodontal tissue and alveolar bone. Those conditions can lead to gingival recession and deeper periodontal pockets [6]. The degree of pocket depth reflects the inflammation activity, whereas the degree of CAL can be used as an index to assess PD severity [7].

PD, gingivitis and periodontitis mainly is a chronic infectious disease which leads to the formation of a biofilm on tooth and root surfaces and results in destruction of periodontal tissue caused by an uncontrolled host response to pathogenic bacteria and their toxins [8,9]. Severity and progression of PD is associated with microbiological burden, host's susceptibility and modified by behavioral and environmental factors [10]. PD is caused mainly due to bacteria found in periodontal pocket and gingival crevice, however the disease may be affected indirectly by various risk

indicators that can lead to systemic immunological responses, severity of inflammatory reactions and the vascular circulation [6,11]. The mentioned risk indicators can affect PD initiation and progression and differ among countries examined [12].

Previous reports have identified smoking [13,14], DM [15-17], genetic predisposition [18,19], increasing age [20,21], male gender [22,23], social and psychological factors [24,25], some ethnicities, low educational level, poor economic status, and poor oral hygiene [26-29], to be associated with periodontitis as risk factors or risk indicators.

Additional potential risk factors recorded in previous reports such as osteoporosis, angina, allergy, frequency of dental visits, and family history of edentulism [30], whereas international surveys have shown that gingivitis and periodontitis are more prevalent in lower socio-economic and educational level populations [31].

The aim of the current research was to identify epidemiological risk factors associated with periodontal pockets and CAL in a sample of Greek adults.

Material and methods

Study population

PA cross-sectional retrospective descriptive study was conducted from November 2017 to September 2018. The reference population for this study was comprised of adult males and females aged 35 to 69 years who lived in the urban area of the 3rd large city in Greece.

The mentioned age group is recommended for epidemiological surveys which examine the oral health of adults and was based on the World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations [32, 33] to assess disease prevalence. This procedure yielded a sample size of 575 individuals. Participants included in the study completed a health medical and dental questionnaire and underwent an oral clinical examination.

Patient's selection criteria

Individuals who had undergone a previous periodontal treatment, conservative or surgical, within the previous six months were excluded from the study sample. None of the participants had received a prescription of anti-inflammatory or systemic antibiotics or other systemic drugs the previous six weeks [34]. Participants was necessary to have a mean of 20 natural teeth, since large numbers of missing teeth could lead to over- or under estimate the dental variables and the possible associations that were under consideration. Those criteria were determined because of the potential effects on the oral tissues.

Oral clinical examination

One well trained and calibrated dentist performed the examinations. The clinical measurements concerned each tooth in all quadrants, except for the 3rd molars and the remaining roots, probing pocket depth (PPD) and clinical attachment loss (CAL) recorded using a William's 12 PCP probe (PCP 10-SE, Hu-Friedy) at six sites (facial, mesio-facial, disto-facial, lingual, disto-lingual and mesio-lingual). In the current research mean PPD and CAL were used as indices for the assessing of PD severity.

Mean PPD was categorized into three groups: 0-3.00 mm (no disease/mild disease), ≥ 4.0 mm <6.0 mm (moderate disease) and ≥ 6.0 mm (severe disease) [35], and mean CAL was also categorized into three groups: 1-2.00 mm (slight), 3-4.00 mm (moderate) and ≥ 5.0 mm (severe) [36].

Clinical measurements of PPD and CAL concerned the immediate full millimeter. In cases the tooth cervix was destructed by decay, erosion, abrasion, or another lesion, or the cement-enamel junction (CEJ) was covered by a filling or prosthetic restoration its location was recorded by extrapolating the CEJ location from the adjacent teeth, whereas no record was recorded in case was not visible.

Patient's selection criteria

Participants completed a self-administered questionnaire. The content of the questionnaire based on the University of Minnesota Dental School Medical Questionnaire [37] with some modifications and included the following demographic and socioeconomic indices: age (35-69 years), gender (male, female), smoking status (never smokers and former/current smokers), socio-economic status ($\leq 1,000$ and $>1,000$ €/month), educational level (elementary level, graduated from University/College), presence or absence of DM, frequency of a regular dental follow-up (no examination/year and 2 times/year).

A randomly chosen sample of 115 (20%) individuals was re-examined clinically by the same dentist after 3 weeks in order

to establish the intra-examiner variance. After consideration of the double examined individuals no differences were recorded between the 1st and the 2nd clinical assessment (*Cohen's Kappa* = 0.94).

Ethical consideration

In Greece only experimental studies must be reviewed and approved by authorized committees (Dental Schools, Greek Dental Associations, Ministry of Health, etc) and the current study was not an experimental one. However, it was carried out in full accordance with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. Individuals who accepted the invitation to participate in the study protocol signed an informed consent form.

Statistical analysis

For each individual the mean value of PPD and CAL at the six sites per tooth recorded and coded as dichotomous variables. Never smokers, individuals with lower educational (elementary level) and socio-economic (income/monthly $\leq 1,000$ €) status, females, individuals with an irregular dental follow-up and individuals who do not suffer from DM coded as 0. Age groups distribution was coded as 0, 1, 2 and 3 for ages 35-39, 40-49, 50-59 and 60-69, respectively.

Multinomial logistic regression model was carried out to produce weighted population estimates. Mean PPD and CAL values were the dependent variables. In the multinomial models, reference group for all comparisons were the following groups: 0-3.00 mm mean PPD group and 1-2.00 mm mean CAL group. Adjusted Odds Ratios (AOR's) and 95% CI (Confidence Interval) were also assessed. Statistical analysis performed using the statistical package of SPSS ver.19.0 and a p value less than 5% ($p < 0.05$) was considered to be statistically significant.

Results and discussion

Table 1 represents the epidemiological variables according to the age groups of the study population. A total of 244 participants, or 42.4% of the study sample aged >50 had a mean PPD of 4.0 mm or more compared to those aged <50 who were 189 (32.9%), whereas 268 (46.6%) of those with the same ages showed a mean CAL of 3.00 mm or more compared to those aged <50 who were 177 (30.8%).

Tables 2 and 3 show the distribution of mean PPD and mean CAL according to selected potential risk factors. A total of 253 individuals (44,0%) showed a mean PPD of ≥ 4 to <6.00 mm and 180 (31.3%) had a mean PPD of >6.00 mm. Similarly, 103 individuals (17.9%) showed a mean CAL of 3-4.0 mm and 386 (67.1%) showed a mean CAL of ≥ 5.0 mm.

According to the multinomial regression model, male gender, lower socio-economic status, smoking, irregular dental follow-up and a DM history were consistent statistically significant potential risk factors for PPD of ≥ 4.00 mm. Participants with a mean PPD of 0-3.00 mm were the reference group (Table 4).

The application of the same statistical model showed that all the potential risk factors examined were found to be statistically

	35-39 years old N (%)	40-49 years old N (%)	50-59 years old N (%)	60-69 years old N (%)
Gender				
Females	5252	85 48.6	8641.1	36 39.6
Males	4848	90 51.4	12358.9	55 60.4
Educational level				
Low	4848	92 52.6	11555	50 54.9
High	5252	83 47.4	9445	41 45.1
Social/economic level				
Low	3131	77 44.0	8842.1	32 35.2
High	6969	98 56.0	12157.9	59 64.8
Smoking status				
No	4343	6336	8540.7	37 40.7
Yes	5757	11264	12459.3	54 59.3
DM history				
No	7676	13878.9	14669.9	58 63.7
Yes	2424	3721.1	6330.1	33 36.3
Dental Follow-up				
No/year	4848	6034.3	3918.7	28 30.8
2 times/year	5252	11565.7	17081.3	63 69.2
Mean PPD				
0-3.0mm	4747	39 22.3	42 20.0	14 15.4
≥4.0-<6.0mm	4242	77 44.0	94 45.0	40 44.0
≥6.0mm	1111	59 33.7	73 35.0	37 40.6
Mean CAL				
1-2.0mm	5454	44 25.1	2110	11 12.1
3-4.0mm	3232	67 38.3	7837.3	32 35.2
≥5.0mm	1414	64 36.6	11052.7	48 52.7

Table 1. Epidemiological variables according to the age groups

	Mean PPD 0-3.0 mm N (%)	Mean PPD ≥4-<6.00 mm N (%)	Mean PPD ≥6.0 mm N (%)
Gender			
Females	80 56.3	95 37.5	8446.7
Males	62 43.7	158 62.5	9653.3
Educational level			
Low	63 44.4	112 44.3	13072.2
High	79 55.6	141 55.7	5027.8
Social/economic level			
Low	46 32.4	9838.7	10860.0
High	96 67.6	15561.3	7240.0
Smoking status			
No	73 51.4	10139.9	5419.3
Yes	69 48.6	15260.1	12680.7
DM history			
No	95 66.9	20179.4	12267.8
Yes	47 33.1	5220.6	5832.2
Dental Follow-up			
No/year	26 18.3	17970.8	17970.8
2 times/year	116 81.7	7429.2	7429.2

Table 2. Distribution of mean PPD according to selected potential risk factors

	Mean CAL 1 - 2.0 mm N (%)	Mean CAL 3-4.0 mm N (%)	Mean CAL ≥5.0 mm N (%)
Gender			
Females	31 36.0	5149.5	177 45.9
Males	55 64.0	5250.5	209 54.1
Educational level			
Low	13 15.1	4947.5	223 57.8
High	73 84.9	5452.5	163 42.2
Social/economic level			
Low	26 30.2	4745.6	155 40.2
High	60 69.8	5654.4	231 59.8
Smoking status			
No	66 76.7	4644.7	126 32.6
Yes	20 23.3	5755.3	260 67.4
DM history			
No	65 75.6	7068.0	28373.3
Yes	21 24.4	3332.0	10326.7
Dental Follow-up			
No/year	20 23.3	6159.2	21455.4
2 times/year	66 76.7	4240.8	17244.6

Table 3. Distribution of mean CAL according to selected potential risk factors

	Mean PPD ≥4 - <6.00 mm	Mean PPD ≥6.0 mm
Gender		
Females	1.00	1.00
Males	1.47* (0.94-2.31)	1.38* (0.73-1.83)
Educational level		
Low	1.00	1.00
High	1.11 (0.62-2.00)	1.19 (0.69-1.63)
Social/economic level		
Low	1.00	1.00
High	1.49* (0.56-1.94)	1.76* (0.82-2.10)
Smoking status		
No	1.00	1.00
Yes	1.80** (0.76-2.17)	1.77** (0.49-2.30)
DM history		
No	1.00	1.00
Yes	1.18 (0.61-1.57)	1.54* (0.68-2.31)
Dental Follow-up		
No/year	1.00	1.00
2 times/year	1.14 (0.47-1.98)	1.37* (0.61-2.05)

* p ≤ 0.05; ** p ≤ 0.01; Individuals with a mean PPD of 0.00-3.00 mm are the reference group

Table 4. Adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95 % Confidence Intervals (95% CI) of PPD and selected potential risk factors among the study population

	Mean CAL 3-4.0 mm	Mean CAL ≥5.0 mm
Gender		
Females	1.00	1.00
Males	1.32* (0.71-2.46)	1.25* (0.58-1.65)
Educational level		
Low	1.00	1.00
High	1.22 (0.28-1.91)	1.93* (0.56-2.59)
Social/economic level		
Low	1.00	1.00
High	1.45* (0.70-1.83)	1.77** (0.62-1.88)
Smoking status		
No	1.00	1.00
Yes	1.58** (0.43-2.07)	1.94** (0.71-2.63)
DM history		
No	1.00	1.00
Yes	1.12 (0.51-1.87)	1.87* (0.67-2.11)
Dental Follow-up		
No/year	1.00	1.00
2 times/year	1.21 (0.47-1.98)	1.82** (0.49-2.16)

*p<0.05; ** p<0.01; Individuals with a mean CAL of 1.00-2.00 mm are the reference group.

Table 5. Adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95 % Confidence Intervals (95% CI) of CAL and selected potential risk factors among the study population

significant with a mean CAL of ≥ 3.00 mm (Table 5). In that case the reference group included individuals who showed a mean CAL of 1-2.00 mm.

The current retrospective study investigated the associations between various epidemiological variables and PD indices in terms of PPD and CAL using the cross-sectional data of 575 individuals referred to a dental clinic for periodontal treatment. The disadvantages of retrospective studies are that characterized by limitations as do not show high reliability as the prospective ones because of the effect of systemic biases during the samples election, recall biases and known and unknown confounders that can lead to biased correlations. Another limitation was that the study population was not randomly selected from a representative population but consisted of PD patients' that referred for periodontal treatment; however its size was estimated using the equation proposed by Lwanga and Lemeshow [33].

In the current study it was found that male gender was significantly associated with the worst hbn values of PPD and CAL, ≥ 4.00 mm and >3.00 mm, respectively. A large amount of previous reports have recorded more serious periodontal destruction among males compared to the female population [22,38-43]. There as on for those gender differences are not clear, and could be explained by the facts that males have poorer oral hygiene practices than females [44], access to dental care is different between both genders [45] and also could be attributed to the ignorance of oral hygiene, which is usually observed among males [43,46]. However, the association recorded between gender and the disease is not clearly visible and is not considered as strong and consistent, as gender is a demographic variable, which may interfere with the effects of

other factors and it must be controlled for searching the disease.

The results also showed that low level of education was significantly associated with a mean of CAL ≥ 5.00 mm, whereas OR for PPD ≥ 6.0 mm was 1.19. That means a higher prevalence of PD was assessed among individuals with lower educational level than among the ones with higher educational level. Similar findings have been confirmed by previous studies [40,47-50].

PD patients exhibited lower educational levels and had difficulties with their affiliation to social health services. The same report recorded worse PD indices in individuals lacking education, or with basic primary education [51]. Jiang et al. [52] observed that risks of oral diseases increase in patients with lower educational or academic training, or lack health insurance affiliation. Similarly, Ababneh et al. [49] found that this link could be associated to difficulties to access health services and other help for the maintenance of suitable oral health, whereas Borrell et al. [48] observed that individuals with lower school educational levels were 3 times more susceptible to suffer from PD that those with higher educational level.

Significant associations observed between socio-economic status (SES) and the worse mean PPD and CAL. Previous studies have recorded significant difference in PD severity among individuals of different SES [7,12,47-49,53-57]. Similar association has been established with other socio-economic parameters such as level of education and income and could be attributed to the close link between educational level income and occupation [58,59]. Other possible explanations are that those individuals are seeking for complex periodontal treatments which are not covered by benefit plans of the General System for Health, and probably the difficulty in providing dental treatment and oral hygiene aids.

However, some studies have shown a weak association between SES and periodontitis after adjustment for oral hygiene and smoking [22,50]. Individuals with higher SES wish to have better periodontal health and this is in accordance with the general belief that those individuals have healthier behaviors than individuals with lower SES [60]. This has been adduced to the better oral health awareness caused by educational level of the individuals. Several diseases and disorders are hypothesized to be associated with SES. Individuals who have higher educational level, greater purchasing power and live under more favorable conditions, show better health conditions than the ones who have lower educational levels and live under less favorable conditions [61]. On the other hand, analysis of the association between social and economic factors and PD could lead to the development of public policies to improve the populations' health [62].

Recently, there has been an increasing emphasis on the importance of social, environmental and economic factors in an effort to understand oral diseases, and public health investigation has focused on the social determinants of health and illness with the recognition of the limitations of the traditional preventive access in improving health and reducing social disparity [63].

In developing countries populations with low SES show a higher prevalence of diseases compared with populations with

higher socioeconomic levels [64] because the social statuses of a population are a determinant of health status [65]. This association must also apply to oral health which is a crucial contributor to general health [66]. In addition, oral health is now considered an important and integral part of general health because poor oral health causes pain, discomfort, affects speech and can affect daily functioning and the general perception of health [67]. Gingival condition is clearly related to lower SES, but the relationship between SES and periodontitis is less direct. It can be certain that gingival health is better among individuals with higher education and more secure income. SES is a modifiable factor and it can be examined in multivariate models for the disease.

Strong associations also observed between smoking status and a mean PPD of ≥ 4.00 mm and CAL ≥ 3.00 mm in the current study. The relationship between smoking and periodontal health has been investigated and a large amount of epidemiological, clinical and in vitro studies have provided strong evidence that smoking negatively affects periodontal health and suggest mechanisms by which this may occur [7,12,38,49,51,68-72]. Cigarette smoking is an environmental risk factor most associated with the progression of PD [73]. Smokers are 2 to 7 times more likely to suffer from periodontitis, whereas heavy smokers are twice as likely to present CAL and alveolar bone loss (ABL) than light smokers [40,74,75].

It has been shown that smokers were 2.7 times more likely to have moderate to advanced PD, compared with non-smokers [76]. Significant associations between smoking and both CAL and ABL have also been found [40].

Cigarette smoking affects the inflammatory and immune responses, as well as the microvasculature [77], causes an essential destructive effect on the periodontal tissues and contributes to PD progression [75]. It seems that cigarette smoking modify the host response to the bacteria in dental plaque [79,80]. Smokers with PDs seem to have less clinical inflammation signs and gingival bleeding compared to non-smokers [81-83], however in fact smokers may show less gingival bleeding than non-smokers with lower plaque indices [84], observation that shows an alteration of the blood vessels diameter which drench the gingival tissues [85]. It has also been suggested that reduced bleeding is associated with an underlying disruption of the immune response and that this condition may lead to the increased CAL and ABL [86,87]. That finding could be attributed to the fact that nicotine causes a local vascular constriction and edema which can reduce blood circulation, and clinical signs of inflammation [88]. It has been revealed that in the development of nicotine related periodontitis the nicotine acetylcholine receptor plays a crucial role [89].

Cross-sectional and longitudinal surveys have shown that the risk of developing PD as measured by CAL and ABL increases in heavy smokers. Those studies recorded that former smokers, clinically defined as two or more years since quitting smoking, showed less CAL than current smokers but more than never-smokers [90]. Odds for developing PD as a result of smoking range from 2.5 [84], 3.97 for current smokers and 1.68 for former smokers [90] and 3.25 for light smokers to 7.28 for heavy smokers [40]. In vitro studies have shown altered gingival crevicular fluid

inflammatory cytokine profiles, immune cell function [91,92] and altered proteolytic regulation in smokers. Nonetheless, the results of those studies are not consistent and to date no clear mechanism has emerged to explain how smoking may affect PD. A new area of study will examine the relationship between smoking and genetic polymorphisms. However, the results of investigations carried out to date cannot be considered definitive. DM is a modifiable risk factor for PD as it can be controlled but not be treated. The current study showed that DM patients were found to be significantly associated with a mean PPD of ≥ 6.00 mm and CAL of ≥ 5.00 mm.

Previous reports have recorded associations between PD and the presence of DM and this association is considered to be bidirectional, e.g. diabetes is a risk factor for periodontitis, and periodontitis is a possible factor for DM [93-97].

An important oral clinical sign of DM is gingivitis and periodontitis. Undiagnosed or poorly controlled DM type 1 or 2 can lead to higher risk for PD, whereas poor control of diabetes in the presence of calculus is associated with higher frequency PPD of ≥ 4.00 mm [74,75]. Previous reports have found a relationship between DM and an increased susceptibility to oral infections including PD [98-102]. In addition, periodontitis also progresses more rapidly in cases of poor DM control [103], and early age of its appearance is considered as a risk factor for more severe diseases [104].

Surveys which have investigated the association between both diseases show heterogeneity in aim and design and both positive and negative observations have been drawn regarding the association examined. Periodontal indices examined have included CAL, gingivitis, and ABL. In general, no difference has been observed between type 1 and type 2 DM regarding its action in periodontal tissues [90].

Another cross sectional study showed an OR of 2.8 to 3.4 for developing PD in type 2 diabetics compared to non-diabetic patients [105]. Similarly, longitudinal studies have shown an increased risk of periodontal destruction in DM patients as compared to non-DM with an OR of 4.2 [106,107].

Lack of a regular dental follow-up was significantly associated with a mean PPD of ≥ 6.00 mm and CAL of ≥ 5.00 mm, finding that was in agreement with the findings of previous studies [49,108,109]. Only one study recorded different findings [110].

As has already mentioned individuals with a SES are generally wish to have better periodontal health and this is in agreement with the general belief that people in higher SES have healthier oral behaviors and lifestyles than do people in lower SES [60].

Conclusions

In conclusion, the results support previous findings regarding cigarette smoking, especially its role in the etiology of severe PPD and CAL. It was also found evidence of an association between male gender, lower socio-economic level, presence of Diabetes Mellitus and irregular dental follow-up and the periodontal indices examined.

References

1. Eke PI, Dye BA, Wei L, Thornton-Evans GO, Genco RJ. CDC Periodontal Disease Surveillance workgroup: Prevalence of periodontitis in adults in the United States: 2009 and 2010. *J Dent Res*. 2012; 91:914-920.
2. Bouchard P, Boutouyrie P, Mattout C, Bourgeois D. Risk assessment for severe clinical attachment loss in an adult population. *J Periodontol*. 2006; 77:479-489.
3. Southerland JH, Taylor GW, Moss K, Beck JD, Offenbacher S. Commonality in chronic inflammatory diseases: periodontitis, diabetes, and coronary artery disease. *Periodontol* 2000. 2006; 40:130-143.
4. Kinane D, Bouchard P; Group E of European Workshop on Periodontology. Periodontal diseases and health: Consensus Report of the Sixth European Workshop on Periodontology. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2008; 35:333-337.
5. Raghavendran K, Mylotte JM, Scannapieco FA. Nursing home associated pneumonia, hospital-acquired pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia: the contribution of dental biofilms and periodontal inflammation. *Periodontol* 2000. 2007; 44:164-177.
6. Kinane DF. Causation and pathogenesis of periodontal disease. *Periodontol* 2000. 2001; 25:8-20.
7. Albandar JM. Global risk factors and risk indicators for periodontal diseases. *Periodontol* 2000. 2002; 29:177-206.
8. Schaudinn C, Gorur A, Keller D, Sedghizadeh PP, Costerton JW. Periodontitis: an archetypical biofilm disease. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2009; 140:978-986.
9. Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. The bacterial etiology of destructive periodontal disease: current concepts. *J Periodontol*.1992; 63:322-331.
10. Schaefer AS, Richter GM, Nothnagel M, Laine ML, Ruhling A, et al. A 3' UTR transition within DEFBI is associated with chronic and aggressive periodontitis. *Genes Immun*. 2010;11:45-54.
11. Nunn ME. Understanding the etiology of periodontitis: an overview of periodontal risk factors. *Periodontol* 2000. 2003; 32:11-23.
12. Holtfreter B, Schwahn C, Biffar R, Kocher T. Epidemiology of periodontal diseases in the Study of Health in Pomerania. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2009; 36:114-123.
13. Calsina G, Ramón J-M, Echeverría JJ. Effects of smoking on periodontal tissues. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2002; 29:771-776.
14. Johnson GK, Slach NA. Impact of tobacco use on periodontal status. *J Dent Educ*. 2001; 65:313-321.
15. Salvi GE, Carollo-Bittel B, Lang NP. Effects of diabetes mellitus on periodontal and peri-implant conditions: update on associations and risks. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2008; 35:398-409.
16. Emrich LJ, Shlossman M, Genco RJ. Periodontal-disease in non insulin dependent diabetes-mellitus. *J Periodontol*. 1991; 62:123-131.
17. Hodge PJ, Robertson D, Paterson K, Smith GL, Creanor S, et al. Periodontitis in non-smoking type 1 diabetic adults: a cross-sectional study. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2012; 39:20-29.
18. Schaefer AS, Richter GM, Groessner-Schreiber B, Noack B, Nothnagel M, et al. Identification of a shared genetic susceptibility locus for coronary heart disease and periodontitis. *PLoS Genet*. 2009; 5:e1000378.
19. Schaefer AS, Richter GM, Nothnagel M, Manke T, Dommisch H, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies GL T6D1 as a susceptibility locus for periodontitis. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2010; 19:553-562.
20. Cobb CM, Williams KB, Gerkovitch MM. Is the prevalence of periodontitis in the USA in decline? *Periodontol* 2000. 2009; 50:13-24.
21. Locker D, Slade GD, Murray H. Epidemiology of periodontal disease among older adults: A review. *Periodontol* 2000. 1998; 16:16-33.
22. Genco RJ. Current view of risk factors for periodontal diseases. *J Periodontol*. 1996; 67:1041-1049.
23. Timmerman MF, der Weijden GAV. Risk factors for periodontitis. *Int J Dent Hyg*. 2006; 4:2-7.
24. Heitz-Mayfield LJ. Disease progression: identification of high-risk groups and individuals for periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2005; 32: 196-209.
25. Pistorius A, Krahwinkel T, Willershausen B, Boekstegen C. Relationship between stress factors and periodontal disease. *Eur J Med Res*. 2002; 7:393-398.
26. Chen L, Lu HX, Wei TY, Feng XP. Multiple factors analysis of periodontal status in pregnant women in Shanghai (in Chinese). Shanghai Kou Qiang Yi Xue/Shanghai *J Stomatol*. 2014; 23:452-456.
27. Amarasena N, Kapellas K, Brown A, Skilton MR, Maple-Brown LJ, et al. Psychological distress and self-rated oral health among a convenience sample of Indigenous Australians. *J Public Health Dent*. 2015; 75:126-133.
28. Xiong X, Buekens P, Vastardis S, Wu T. Periodontal disease: a possible explanation for the Mexican paradox. *Med Hypotheses*. 2006; 67:1348-1354.
29. Vogt M, Sallum AW, Cecatti JG, Morais SS. Factors associated with the prevalence of periodontal disease in low-risk pregnant women. *Reprod Health*. 2012; 9: 3
30. Elter JR, Beck JD, Slade GD, Offenbacher S. Etiologic models for incident periodontal attachment loss in older adults. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1999; 26:113-123.
31. Costa SM, Vasconcelos M, Haddad JP, Abreu MH. The severity of dental caries in adults aged 35 to 44 years residing in the metropolitan area of a large city in Brazil: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Oral Health*. 2012; 12:25.
32. World Health Organization: Oral health surveys: basic methods. (4th Edn). Geneva: WHO; 1997:47p.
33. Lwanga SK, Lemeshow S. Sample size determination in health studies. A practical manual. Geneva: WHO; 1991.
34. Machuca G, Segura-Egea JJ, Jimenez-Beato G, Lacalle JR, Bullón P. Clinical indicators of periodontal disease in patients with coronary heart disease: A 10 years longitudinal study. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2012; 17:e569-574
35. Cutress TW, Ainamo J, Sardo-Infirri J. The community periodontal index of treatment needs (CPITN) procedure for population groups and individuals. *Int Dent J*. 1987; 37:222-233.
36. Armitage GC. Development of a classification system for periodontal diseases and conditions. *Ann Periodontol*. 1999; 4:1-6.
37. Molloy J, Wolff LF, Lopez-Guzman A, Hodges JS. The association of periodontal disease parameters with systemic medical conditions and tobacco use. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2004; 31: 625-632.
38. Rhee GB, Ji S, Ryu JJ, Lee JB, Shin C, et al. Risk assessment for clinical attachment loss of periodontal tissue in Korean adults. *J Adv Prosthodont*. 2011; 3: 25-32.
39. Almerich-Silla JM, Almiñana-Pastor PJ, Boronat-Catalá M, Bellot-Arcís C, Montiel Company JM. Socioeconomic factors and severity of periodontal disease in adults (35-44 years). A cross sectional study. *J Clin Exp Dent*. 2017; 9:e988-994.
40. Grossi SG, Genco RJ, Machtei EE, Ho AW, Koch G, et al. Assessment of risk for periodontal disease. II. Risk indicators for alveolar bone

- loss. *J Periodontol.* 1995; 66:23-29.
41. Meisel P, Reifengerger J, Haase R, Nauck M, Bandt C, et al. Women are periodontally healthier than men, but why don't they have more teeth than men? *Menopause.* 2008; 15:270-275.
 42. Mundt T, Schwahn C, Mack F, Polzer I, Samietz S, et al. Risk indicators for missing teeth in working-age Pomeranians-an evaluation of high-risk populations. *J Publ Health Dent.* 2007; 67:243-249.
 43. Slade GD, Spencer AJ. Periodontal attachment loss among adults aged 60+in South Australia. *Com Dent and Oral Epidemiol.* 1995; 23:237-242.
 44. Christensen LB, Petersen PE, Krstrup U, Kjoller M. Self-reported oral hygiene practices among adults in Denmark. *Com Dent Health.* 2003; 20:229-235.
 45. Roberts-Thomson KF, Stewart JF. Access to dental care by young South Australian adults. *Aust Dent J.* 2003; 48:169-174.
 46. Albandar JM, Kingman A. Gingival recession, gingival bleeding, and dental calculus in adults 30 years of age and older in the United States, 1988-1994. *J Periodontol.* 1999; 70:30-43.
 47. Torrungruang K, Tamsailom S, Rojanasomsith K, Sutdhibhisal S, Nisapakultorn K, et al. Risk indicators of periodontal disease in older Thai adults. *J Periodontol.* 2005; 76:558-565.
 48. Borrell LN, Burt BA, Warren RC, Neighbors HW. The role of individual and neighborhood social factors on periodontitis: the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *J Periodontol.* 2006; 77:444-453.
 49. Ababneh KT, AbuHwajj ZMF, Khader YS. Prevalence and risk indicators of gingivitis and periodontitis in a Multi-Centre study in North Jordan: across sectional study. *BMC Oral Health.* 2012; 12:1.
 50. Teng HC, Lee CH, Hung HC, Tsai CC, Chang YY, et al. Life style and psychosocial factors associated with chronic periodontitis in Taiwanese adults. *J Periodontol.* 2003; 74:1169-1175.
 51. Ramirez Maya JC, Lopera NS, Lopez AP, Agudelo-Suarez AA, Botero JE. Periodontal disease and its relationship with clinical and sociodemographic variables in adult patients treated in a service/teaching institution. *Rev Odontol Mexicana.* 2017; 21:e160-e167.
 52. Jiang Y, Okoro CA, Oh J, Fuller DL. Sociodemographic and health-related risk factors associated with tooth loss among adults in Rhode Island. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2013; 10: E45.
 53. Gilbert GH. Racial and socioeconomic disparities in health from population-based research to practice-based research: the example of oral health". *J Dent Educ.* 2005; 69:1003-1014.
 54. Susin C, Oppermann RV, Haugejorden O, Albandar JM. Tooth loss and associated risk indicators in an adult urban population from south Brazil. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2005; 63:85-93.
 55. Hobdell MH, Oliveira ER, Bautista R, Myburgh NG, Lalloo R, et al. Oral diseases and socioeconomic status (SES). *Br Dent J.* 2003; 194:91-96.
 56. Sogi GM, Bhaskar DJ. Dental caries and oral hygiene status of school children in Davangere related to their socio-economic levels: an epidemiological study. *J Indian Soc Peadodont Prev Dent.* 2002; 20:152-157.
 57. Person GR. Perspectives on periodontal risk factors. *J Int Acad Periodontol.* 2008; 10:71-80.
 58. Ismail AL, Eklund AS, Burt BA, Calderone JJ. Prevalence of deep periodontal pockets in New Mexico adults aged 27 to 74 years. *J Public Health Dent.* 1986; 46:199.
 59. OPCS (Office of Population and Census and Surveys): The 1983 update on adult dental health from OPCS. *Br Dent J.* 1986; 160: 246-253.
 60. Stephen LI, Steven AS. Class- The Ignored Determinant of the Nation's Health. *N Engl J Med.* 2004; 351:1137-1142.
 61. Academy of Periodontology (AAP): Epidemiology of periodontal diseases. *J Periodontol.* 2005; 67:1406-1419.
 62. Hobdell MH, Oliveira ER, Batista R. Oral diseases and socioeconomic status. *Br Dent J.* 2003; 194:91-96.
 63. Watt RG. Emerging the ories into the social determinants of health: implications for oral health promotion. *Comm Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2002; 30:241-247.
 64. Armitage GC. Analysis of gingival crevice fluid and risk progression of periodontitis. *Periodontol 2000.* 2004; 34:109-119.
 65. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde: Saúde Brasil 2006: uma análise de desigualdade em saúde. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2006.
 66. World Health Organization Global: Review on oral health in ageing societies. Ageing and health. Technical report, volume 3. Kobe: WHO Kobe Centre for Health Development; 2002.
 67. Benyamini Y, Leventhal H, Leventhal E. Self-rated oral health as an independent predictor of self-rated general health, self-esteem and life satisfaction. *Soc Sci Med.* 2004; 59:1109-1116.
 68. Kubota M, Tanno-Nakanishi M, Yamada S, Okuda K, Ishihara K. Effect of smoking on subgingival microflora of patients with periodontitis in Japan. *BMC Oral Health.* 2011; 11:1.
 69. Vouros ID, Kalpidis CDR, Chadjipantelis T, Konstantinidis AB. Cigarette smoking associated with advanced periodontal destruction in a Greek sample population of patients with periodontal disease. *J Intern Acad Periodontol.* 2009; 11: 250-257.
 70. Van Dyke TE, Sheilesh D. Risk factors for periodontitis. *J Int Acad Periodontol.* 2005; 7:3-7.
 71. Palmer RM, Wilson RF, Hasan AS, Scott DA. Mechanisms of action of environmental factors-tobacco smoking. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2005; 32:180-195.
 72. Borrell LN, Papapanou PN. Analytical epidemiology of periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2005; 32:132-158.
 73. Grossi SG, Zambon JJ, Ho AW, Koch G, Dunford RG, et al. Assessment of risk for periodontal disease. I. Risk indicators for attachment loss. *J Periodontol.* 1994; 65:260-267.
 74. Philstrom BL. Periodontal risk assessment, diagnosis and treatment planning. *Periodontol.* 2001; 25:37-58.
 75. Preber H, Bergström J. Occurrence of gingival bleeding in smoker and non-smoker patients. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 1985; 43:315-320.
 76. Haber J, Kent RL. Cigarette smoking in a periodontal practice. *J Periodontol.* 1992; 63:100-106.
 77. Dietrich T, Bernimoulin JP, Glynn RJ. The effect of cigarette smoking on gingival bleeding. *J Periodontol.* 2004; 75:16-22.
 78. Zini A, Sgan-Cohen HD, Marcenes W. Socio-economic position, smoking, and plaque: a pathway to severe chronic periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2011; 38:229-235.
 79. Ozcaka O, Bicakci N, Pussinen P, Sorsa T, Kose T, et al. Smoking and matrix metalloproteinases, neutrophil elastase and myeloperoxidase in chronic periodontitis. *Oral Dis.* 2011; 17:68-76.
 80. Shchipkova AY, Nagaraja HN, Kumar PS. Subgingival microbial profiles of smokers with periodontitis. *J Dent Res.* 2010; 89:1247-1253.
 81. Bergström J. Oral hygiene compliance and gingivitis expression in cigarette smokers. *Scand J Dent Res.* 1990; 98:497-503.
 82. Bergstrom J, Bostrom L. Tobacco smoking and periodontal hemorrhagic responsiveness. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2001; 28:680-685.

83. Muller HP, Stadermann S, Heinecke A. Bleeding on probing in smokers and non-smokers in a steady state plaque environment. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2001; 5:177-184.
84. Bergström J. Cigarette smoking as risk factor in chronic periodontal disease. *Comm Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 1989; 17:245-247.
85. Mirbod SM, Abing SL, Pruthi VK. Immunohistochemical study of vestibular gingival blood vessel density and internal circumference in smokers and non-smokers. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2001; 72:1318-1323.
86. Bostrom L, Linder LE, Bergström J. Influence of smoking on the outcome of periodontal surgery. A 5- year follow-up. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1998; 25:194-201.
87. Ah MK, Johnson GK, Kaldahl WB, Patil KD, Kalkwarf KL. The effect of smoking on the response to periodontal therapy. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1994; 21:91-97.
88. Jensen JA, Goodson WH, Hopf HW, Hunt TK. Cigarette smoking decreases tissue oxygen. *Archiv Surg*. 1991; 126:1131-1134.
89. Wang Q, Cai C, Duan Y, Wang X. Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor but not acetylcholinesterase plays an important role in nicotine-related periodontitis. *Med Hypoth*. 2010; 74:954-955.
90. Tomar SL, Asma S. Smoking-attributable periodontitis in the United States; findings from NHANES III. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *J Periodontol*. 2000; 71:743-751.
91. Ryder MI, Fujitaki R, Johnson G, Hyun W. Alterations of neutrophil oxidative burst by in vitro smoke exposure: implications for oral and systemic disease. *Ann Periodontol*. 1998a; 3:76-97.
92. Ryder MI, Fujitaki R, Lebus S, Mahboub M, Faia B, et al. Alterations of neutrophil L-selection and CD 18 expression by tobacco smoke: implications for periodontal diseases. *J Periodontol Res*. 1998b; 33:359-368.
93. Jepsen S, Kebschull M, Deschner J. Relationship between periodontitis and systemic diseases. *Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforschung Gesundheitschutz*. 2011; 54:1089-1096.
94. Nishimura F, Iwamoto Y, Mineshiba J, Shimizu A, Soga Y, et al. Periodontal disease and diabetes mellitus: the role of tumor necrosis factor-alpha in a 2-way relationship. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2003; 74:97-102.
95. Taylor GW. Bidirectional interrelationships. Between diabetes, and periodontal diseases: an epidemiologic perspective. *Ann Periodontol*. 2001; 6:99-112.
96. Pucher J, Stewart J. Periodontal disease and diabetes mellitus. *Curr Diabet Rep*. 2004; 4:46-50.
97. Hong M, Kim HY, Seok H, Yeo CD, Kim YS, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of periodontitis among adults with or without diabetes mellitus. *Korean J Intern Med*. 2016; 31:910-919.
98. Campus G, Salem A, Uzzau S, Baldoni E, Tonolo G. Diabetes and periodontal disease: a case-control study. *J Periodontol*. 2005; 76:418-425.
99. Graves DT, Al-Mashat H, Liu R. Evidence that diabetes mellitus aggravates periodontal diseases and modifies the response to an oral pathogen in animal models. *Compend Cont Educ Dent*. 2004; 25(sup 1):38-45.
100. Meng H. Association between periodontitis and diabetes mellitus. *Beij Da Xue Xue Bao*. 2007; 39:18-20.
101. Nishimura F, Soga Y, Iwamoto Y, Kudo C, Murayama Y. Periodontaldisease as part of the insulin resistance syndrome in diabetic patients. *J Intern Acad Periodontol*. 2005; 7:16-20.
102. Stegeman CA. Oral manifestations of diabetes. *Home Healthcar Nurs*. 2005; 23:233-242.
103. Seppälä B, Seppälä M, Ainamo J. A longitudinal study on insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1993; 20:161-165.
104. Torstensson H, Hugoson A. Periodontaldiseaseexperience in adult long-duration insulin-dependent diabetics. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1993; 20:352-358.
105. Emrich LJ, Shlossman M, Genco RJ. Periodontal disease in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1991; 62:123-131.
106. Westfelt E, Rylander H, Blohme G, Jonasson P, Lindhe J. The effect of periodontal therapy in diabetics. Results after 5 years. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1996; 23:92-100.
107. Tervonen T, Karjalainen K. Periodontal disease related to diabetic status. A pilot study of the response to periodontal therapy in type 1 diabetes. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1997; 24:505-510.
108. Susin C, Dalla Vecchia CF, Oppermann RV, Haugejorden O, Albandar JM. Periodontal attachment loss in an urban population of Brazilian adults: effect of demographic, behavioural, and environmental risk indicators. *J Periodontol*. 2004; 75:1033-1041.
109. Neely AL, Holford TR, Løe H, Anerud A, Boysen H. The natural history of Periodontal disease in man. Risk factors for progression of attachment loss in individuals receiving no oral health care. *J Periodontol*. 2001; 72:1006-1015.
110. Ogawa H, Yoshihara A, Hirotoji T, Ando Y, Miyazaki H. Risk factors for periodontal disease progression among elderly people. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2002; 29:592-597.

***Correspondence:** Nikolaos Andreas Chrysanthakopoulos, 35, Zaimi Street, PC 26 223, Patra, Greece, Tel/Fax: +30-2610-225288, E-mail: nikolaos_c@hotmail.com or nchrysant@med.uoa.gr

Rec: Oct 05, 2018; Acc: Oct 22, 2018; Pub: Oct 25, 2018

Dent Craniofac Res. 2018;1(3):114
DOI: gsl.dcr.2018.000114

Copyright © 2018 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY).